Context

Project details

Applicant organisation	BANDIRMA ONYEDI EYLUL UNIVERSITY
Applicant organisation OID	E10079026
Project code	2021-1-TR01-KA131-HED-000008162
Action type	Mobility of higher education students and staff supported by internal policy funds (KA131-HED)
Beneficiary Organisation Full Legal Name (Latin characters)	BANDIRMA ONYEDI EYLUL UNIVERSITY
Beneficiary organisation Erasmus code (where applicable)	TR BALIKES02
Mobility consortium accreditation number:	
Field	Higher Education
Project start date	01/09/2021
Project end date	31/10/2023
Project duration	26 months
Project grant reported	76 100,00 €
Project grant contracted	76 100,00 €

Assessment criteria

Scoring of the final report of the project

The maximum score of the final report is 100 points.

If the final report scores below 60 points in total, the NA may reduce the final grant amount for organisational support. See the relevant provisions in Annex III of the beneficiary grant agreement (parts IV and V).

For each assessment criterion, the maximum total points are indicated.

Assessment criteria for KA131 projects

Implementation performance (maximum 50 points)	Mobility activities The extent to which the planned mobility activities (as set out in the grant agreement) were achieved or exceeded and how the budget/grant was efficiently used, and relevance of the beneficiary's explanations. Blended intensive programmes Question relating exclusively to projects with blended intensive programmes:The extent to which each blended intensive programme has reached its objectives, and the relevance of the beneficiary's explanations.
Accreditation compliance and quality (maximum 35 points)	ECHE accreditation Compliance and quality of activities/outcomes Did the implementation of the mobility project respect the requirements set out in the ECHE and were the beneficiary's explanations relevant? In particular, did the beneficiary efficiently implement the ECHE provisions and how did the beneficiary resolve any related difficulties, such as: • Support to participants (for example information, selection, preparation, inclusion measures, monitoring, and language support) • Recognition of learning outcomes Were the participants satisfied with their mobility experience in general? Horizontal priorities The extent to which the beneficiary implemented activities related to the Erasmus+ and other policy priorities, the informal learning outcomes, and the relevance of the beneficiary's explanations: • Inclusion and diversity (additional funding, etc.) • Green transition (use of sustainable means of travel, etc.) • Digital transition (development of digital competences, use of virtual collaboration as part of mobility, digitalisation of mobility management, etc.) • Participation in democratic life, common values and civic engagement (activities undertaken for incoming and outgoing participants, etc.) • Strengthened international dimension (take-up of international mobility, extent to which the destination countries for outgoing international mobility were diversified in numbers and geographical scope, etc.) • Bridge between higher education and research and innovation (doctoral short-term physical mobility, etc.) Mobility consortium accreditation Question relating exclusively to mobility consortium projects:Did the national project partners of the consortium effectively and efficiently cooperate and contribute to the project in line with the approved mobility consortium accreditation application?
Follow-up (maximum 15 points)	Impact The relevance of the impact of the projects and its activities. Sharing of the project results The relevance of the sharing of the project results.

Project summary

Introduction

Please provide short answers to the following questions, summarising the information you have provided in the rest of the report.

Please use full sentences and clear language, and do not use acronyms. The summary you provided will be made public by the European Commission and the National Agencies.

Background and Objectives

What did you want to achieve by implementing the project?
We aimed to achieve internalization excellence in education and research. We wanted to create a Curriculum Modernisation Strategy and Action Plan and to offer the students flexible options of on-campus and online programs that allow them to take courses as per their needs, and to improve the quality of online learning management platform.

Implementation

What activities did you implement (please also refer to the overview activities tables below)?
We encouraged the students and staff to take part in Erasmus+ activities both digital and face to face. Students and staff who participated in Erasmus+ programs were invited to meetings to share their experiences.

Results

What were the outcomes and impact of your project?
We believe that Erasmus+ activities helped the participants to be aware of different cultures and societies. Their understanding of education widened. More and more staff and students would like to join Erasmus+ activities.

Participating beneficiary organisation(s)

Role of the Organisation	Organisation ID	Individual accreditation of the organisation (Erasmus code) (where applicable)	Name of the Organisation	Type of Organisation
Consortium coordinator	E10079026	TR BALIKES02	BANDIRMA ONYEDI EYLUL UNIVERSITY	Higher education institution (tertiary level)

Total number of beneficiary organisation(s)	1

Implementation and budget

Mobility activities and budget overview

Mobility grants: individual and travel support	Contracted		Reported			
	Number of participants	Grant	Number of actual participants	Share of number of actual participants	Grant	Share of the grants
Student mobility for studies	11	0,00 €	17	44,74 %	41 285,00 €	66,09 %
Student mobility for traineeships	9	0,00 €	1	2,63 %	1 750,00 €	2,80 %
Staff mobility for training	10	0,00 €	15	39,47 %	14 028,00 €	22,46 %
Staff mobility for teaching	9	0,00 €	5	13,16 %	5 407,00 €	8,66 %
Total student mobility	20	0,00 €	18	47,37 %	43 035,00 €	68,89 %
Total staff mobility	19	0,00 €	20	52,63 %	19 435,00 €	31,12 %
Total	39	0,00 €	38	100,00 %	62 470,00 €	100,00 %
Mobility grants: real costs						
Inclusion support for participants	0	0,00 €	0		0,00 €	
Exceptional costs for expensive travel	0	0,00 €	0		0,00 €	
Organisational grant						
Mobility organisational support	39	15 600,00 €	38		13 630,00 €	
Inclusion support for organisations	0	0,00 €	0		0,00 €	
Blended intensive programme organisational support		0,00 €			0,00 €	
Other exceptional costs		0,00 €			0,00 €	

Reported number of recent graduates and share out of the reported number of traineeship mobilities: **0 (0 %)**

Reported number of mobilities of invited staff from enterprises (non-higher education institutions): **0**

Contracted project grant	Reported project grant
76 100,00 €	76 100,00 €

Please comment and explain the difference, if any, between the contracted and reported number of participants, and explain the difference, if any, between the contracted and reported budget.

We aimed the help students benefit from Erasmus+ programs. Therefore we transferred the budget for traineeship to studies. We used the organizational budget for the staff mobility for training.

Budget transfers: If relevant, please explain all the budget transfers between budget categories in line with the budget transfer rules